International Research Journal of Innovations in Engineering and Technology (IRJIET)

ISSN (online): 2581-3048

Volume 10, Issue 4, pp 94-99, April-2026

https://doi.org/10.47001/IRJIET/2026.104012

Digital Transformation in Education: The Role of NEP 2020 in Bridging the Digital Divide

[1]Md Zamirul Islam, [2]Sirin Sultana

[1]Student, Department of Education, University of Kalyani, West Bengal, India

[2]Ph.D. Research Scholar, Department of Education, University of Gour Banga, West Bengal, India

E-mails: [1]eduzamirul@gmail.com, [2]silpisirin302@gmail.com

***Abstract* - The National Education Policy 2020 (NEP 2020) serves as a foundational blueprint for reconstructing India's educational architecture, with digital transformation identified as a primary catalyst for achieving equity, quality, and global competitiveness. This study critically analyzes the role of NEP 2020 in bridging the "digital divide"—a multifaceted phenomenon encompassing disparities in access, affordability, and digital literacy. Employing a qualitative analytical methodology, the research synthesizes secondary data from the Ministry of Education, UDISE+ 2023-24 reports, and the UNESCO Global Education Monitoring (GEM) Report 2024-25. Key findings indicate that while administrative and infrastructural initiatives such as the Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) and PM e-Vidya have significantly expanded the reach of digital content, a deep-seated "technology paradox" persists. Data reveals that while school internet access increased from 22.3% in 2019-20 to 53.9% in 2023-24, nearly half of Indian schools remain offline, and a stark gender divide exists, with only 34% of women utilizing the internet compared to over 50% of men. The study concludes that bridging the digital divide requires moving beyond technocentric solutions to address systemic socio-economic barriers. Successful transformation is contingent upon sustained public investment (aiming for the elusive 6% of GDP target), localized content in regional languages, and robust teacher professionalization.**

Keywords: NEP 2020, Digital Divide, EdTech, Inclusive Education, NDEAR, PM e-Vidya.

I. Introduction

The Indian education system is currently navigating an era of unprecedented transition. Prior to 2020, digital education was largely viewed as a supplementary tool—a luxury reserved for elite private institutions. However, the COVID-19 pandemic acted as a disruptive "stress test," forcing a nationwide pivot to remote learning and exposing the raw nerves of educational inequality. This period highlighted that "access" is not a monolith; it is a complex web of smartphone ownership, high-speed connectivity, and the socio-economic capacity to maintain a digital learning environment.

The National Education Policy 2020 (NEP 2020) was promulgated against this backdrop, signaling a definitive shift from a degree-oriented system to a skill-based, multidisciplinary framework. Central to this vision is the integration of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) across all levels of schooling. However, the "digital divide" remains the most significant obstacle to the policy's success. This divide is not merely rural versus urban; it encompasses a gender gap in device ownership, a socio-economic gap in data affordability, and a pedagogical gap in digital literacy among educators.

Rationale and Significance

This study is significant because it moves beyond the aspirational rhetoric of the policy to examine ground-level implementation realities. As India aims to become a "Global Knowledge Superpower" by 2047, the digital transformation of its 24.8 crore students and 98 lakh teachers is a developmental imperative. Understanding the efficacy of NEP 2020 in mitigating these disparities is crucial for policymakers and institutional leaders.

Objectives

1. To evaluate the conceptual framework of digital transformation within the context of NEP 2020.
2. To analyze the reach and impact of key digital initiatives such as DIKSHA, SWAYAM, and PM e-Vidya.
3. To identify the systemic barriers—infrastructure, funding, and literacy—that hinder equitable digital access.
4. To provide evidence-based recommendations for bridging the digital divide in a diverse and federal nation.

International Research Journal of Innovations in Engineering and Technology (IRJIET)

ISSN (online): 2581-3048

Volume 10, Issue 4, pp 94-99, April-2026

https://doi.org/10.47001/IRJIET/2026.104012

II. Conceptual Framework

Digital Transformation in Education

Digital transformation is often misunderstood as the mere digitizing of physical textbooks. In the context of NEP 2020, it is defined as a holistic reimagining of the teaching-learning process. It involves the use of AI-driven Personalized Adaptive Learning (PAL), virtual laboratories, and the creation of a "seamless" digital journey for the learner through mechanisms like the Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) and the Automated Permanent Academic Account Registry (APAAR ID).

Dimensions of the Digital Divide

The "Digital Divide" in India is multidimensional, characterized by three distinct levels:

1. **Access Divide:** The physical unavailability of devices and connectivity. In 2025, while nearly 97% of youth use mobile phones, ownership is skewed; only 63% of young women own a device compared to over 83% of men.

2. **Affordability Divide:** The cost of hardware and recurring data expenses. Although India has one of the cheapest data markets globally (roughly ₹8-10 per GB in 2025-26), hardware remains a prohibitive cost for the poorest 20% of the population.

3. **Skill Divide:** The "usage gap" where digital tools are used for entertainment rather than creation. A 2025 survey revealed that while 85% of youth can send attachments, only 22%can draft a formal digital document.

Theoretical Linkage

The NEP 2020 vision aligns with **Human Capital Theory**, viewing technology as a multiplier for productivity and employability. However, it must also be viewed through the lens of **Critical Pedagogy**, which questions whether technology empowers the marginalized or reinforces existing social hierarchies of caste, class, and gender.

III. Overview of NEP 2020: The Digital Perspective

NEP 2020 advocates for a "light but tight" regulatory model and the extensive use of technology to improve learning outcomes. Several flagship initiatives form the digital backbone of this policy:

- **National Educational Technology Forum (NETF):** An autonomous body created to provide a platform for the free exchange of ideas on technology-based interventions, virtual labs, and AI integration.

- **National Digital Education Architecture (NDEAR):** A unified digital infrastructure launched in July 2021 to act as a "super connector" between the center, states, and private EdTech players.

- **DIKSHA (Digital Infrastructure for Knowledge Sharing):** The "One Nation, One Digital Platform" for school education, hosting over $19,600$ courses with 182 million enrollments by late 2025.

- **SWAYAM and SWAYAM Prabha:** Indigenous MOOC platforms and DTH channels that democratize access to high-quality higher education and vocational courses in regional languages.

- **National Digital University (NDU):** Launched in October 2025 under NIELIT, the NDU operates on a "hub-and-spoke" model, allowing students to earn degrees through a combination of online courses from top national and international institutions.

IV. Literature Review

Scholarly discourse on NEP 2020 reveals a dichotomy between its visionary potential and the pragmatic skepticism of its execution. Proponents like Tilak (2020) argue that the policy is the first to truly "Indianize" the system by integrating the Indian Knowledge System (IKS) with twenty-first-century digital requirements.

Critical Perspectives

Critics, however, highlight the "technocentric bias" of the policy. Research by Gupta (2021) warns that technology-driven transformation may unintentionally exacerbate existing inequalities if not accompanied by massive public investment in rural infrastructure. Scholars such as Kingdon (2020) have flagged the "funding-reality gap," noting that the 6% of GDP allocation target has remained unfulfilled for over five decades.

The "digital divide" remains a recurrent theme. A 2022 World Bank report highlighted that only 52\% of rural households in India have internet access, fundamentally limiting the efficacy of online-only initiatives. Furthermore, the ASER 2024 report indicates that while smartphone access is high (90% of rural households), "meaningful usage" for education remains low, with many students lacking the skills to navigate complex digital platforms.

ISSN (online): 2581-3048
Volume 10, Issue 4, pp 94-99, April-2026
https://doi.org/10.47001/IRJIET/2026.104012

Research Gap

Most existing studies provide either a summary of the policy or a localized analysis of specific challenges. There is a lack of comprehensive research that synthesizes the latest 2024-2025 implementation data (such as the PM SHRI rollout and the NDU launch) with a critical evaluation of how these initiatives specifically address the digital divide at scale.

V. Methodology

This research adopts a qualitative and analytical approach, utilizing secondary data to evaluate the policy-to-practice transition.

Data Sources

- **Government Reports:** UDISE+ (2023-24 and 2024-25), Economic Survey 2024-25, and Ministry of Education Implementation status reports (late 2025).
- **International Documents:** UNESCO Global Education Monitoring (GEM) Reports 2024 and 2025.
- **Scholarly Literature:** Peer-reviewed articles from Scopus and UGC CARE-indexed journals published between 2021 and 2026.

Analytical Approach

The study employs Thematic Analysis to categorize findings into infrastructure, teacher readiness, and socio-economic equity. A comparative lens is used to contrast the digital mandates of NEP 2020 with the ground realities captured in the 2025 PARAKH Rashtriya Sarvekshan survey.

VI. Role of NEP 2020 in Digital Transformation

The policy envisions a shift toward blended and online learning as a permanent feature of the Indian educational landscape.

Use of ICT in Teaching-Learning

NEP 2020 removes the "artificial separation" between traditional and vocational streams, using technology to bridge the gap. For example, the introduction of coding from Grade 6 and the use of virtual labs for science experiments aim to provide high-quality practical exposure to students in remote areas who lack physical lab facilities.

Teacher Capacity Building

A critical pillar of digital transformation is the NISHTHA (National Initiative for School Heads' and Teachers' Holistic Advancement) program. By late 2025, over 12.9 lakh teachers had been trained under this initiative. However, a 2022 NCTE survey revealed that only 45% of teachers feel confident in implementing these digital methodologies, indicating a significant "preparedness gap."

Digital Infrastructure Development

The policy has catalyzed a surge in infrastructural upgrades. The percentage of schools with functional computers rose to 64.7% in 2024-25, and internet access reached 63.5%, up from just 22.3% in 2019-20. The PM SHRI (Prime Minister's Schools for Rising India) scheme has been instrumental here, with over 12,000 schools upgraded to include smart classrooms and ICT labs by 2025.

VII. Bridging the Digital Divide: Implementation and Impact

NEP 2020 introduces several targeted strategies to ensure that students from Socio-Economically Disadvantaged Groups (SEDGs) are not left behind.

Efforts in Rural and Marginalized Communities

The "One Class, One TV Channel" initiative under PM e-Vidya has expanded to 200 DTH channels, providing curriculum-based content in various Indian languages. This is specifically designed for the 48% of rural students who face obstacles in accessing online education due to poor internet connectivity. By taking the "classroom to the home" via television, the government aims to bypass the "bandwidth barrier."

Inclusion Strategies for Disadvantaged Groups

- **Gender Inclusion Fund (GIF):** A dedicated fund to help states implement priorities such as providing bicycles and digital devices to female and transgender students.
- **Special Education Zones (SEZs):** Geographical areas with high concentrations of SEDGs where digital schemes are implemented with "additional concerted efforts."
- **Linguistic Inclusivity:** DIKSHA and SWAYAM now offer content in 13 major Indian languages, acknowledging that children learn abstract concepts more effectively in their mother tongue.

VIII. Challenges and Limitations: The Infrastructure-Reality Gap

Despite the policy's progressive outlook, several formidable challenges persist.

International Research Journal of Innovations in Engineering and Technology (IRJIET)

ISSN (online): 2581-3048

Volume 10, Issue 4, pp 94-99, April-2026

https://doi.org/10.47001/IRJIET/2026.104012

1. The Power Deficit

Digital education is impossible without electricity. According to UDISE+ 2023-24 data, over 1.52 lakh schools in India still lack functional electricity access. In many tribal and agricultural belts, power provision remains "patchy," rendering smart classrooms unusable for large portions of the academic year.

2. The Digital Ownership Crisis

While mobile ownership is high, meaningful education requires tablets or laptops. Currently, over 90% of Indian students do not have personal devices that allow them to engage in online learning holistically. This leads to a "dependence on male relatives," where female students must wait for their fathers or brothers to return from work to access digital lessons, compromising their learning autonomy.

3. Financial Sustainability

The recommendation to allocate 6% of GDP to education has remained a dormant aspiration. As of late 2025, public expenditure hovers between 2.9% and 3.1% of GDP. Without a legally binding financial mechanism, essential reforms like universal high-speed internet in rural schools remain underfunded.

4. Federal Friction

Education is a concurrent subject, and several opposition-ruled states (e.g., Kerala, Tamil Nadu, West Bengal) have resisted certain NEP provisions. Karnataka submitted its own $2,197$-page State Education Policy (SEP) in 2025, rejecting the national three-language formula and centralizing tendencies. This friction risks creating a fragmented educational ecosystem.

IX. Critical Analysis: Policy Vision vs. Ground Reality

Parameter	NEP 2020 Goal	Ground Reality (Late 2025)
School Internet Access	100% by 2030	63.5% (Major rural-urban gap)
Public Funding	6% of GDP	approx 3% of GDP
Teacher Readiness	High confidence in EdTech	Only 45% feel confident
Foundational Learning	Universal FLN by 2025	"Significant learning deficits" (PARAKH 2025)

The critical analysis reveals a "Compliance vs. Quality" paradox. While administrative compliance for tools like the APAAR ID and Academic Bank of Credits is high (>3 crore students registered), core pedagogical outcomes are lagging. The 2025 PARAKH survey confirms that learning deficits in primary grades remain high, suggesting that digital tools have not yet successfully compensated for the pandemic-era learning loss.

Furthermore, the push for "Personalized Adaptive Learning" (PAL) risks creating a "new elite." Affluent students in urban centers use AI-driven tutors, while rural students in "shadow regions" rely on broadcast TV with no interactive doubt-solving capacity. This creates a tiered system of digital citizenship.

X. Discussion and Future Implications

The long-term impact of NEP 2020 will be determined by its ability to transition from "accessing technology" to "learning through technology."

For Students and Teachers

For students, the Academic Bank of Credits offers unprecedented mobility, allowing them to pause their studies for financial reasons and return later without losing progress. For teachers, the shift towards Continuous Professional Development (CPD) is a positive step, but it must move beyond perfunctory attendance to hands-on, classroom-based training.

For Global Standing

The internationalization of Indian HEIs—with 11 foreign universities issued Letters of Intent to open campuses in India by 2025—will pressure domestic institutions to upgrade their digital infrastructure to meet global standards. However, there is a risk of "over-commodification," where education is viewed merely as a tool for producing "human capital" rather than fostering civic values.

XI. Recommendations

Policy-Level Strategies

- **Binding Funding Roadmap:** The government should enact a legislative framework to ensure the 6% GDP target for education is met, possibly through a dedicated "Digital Education Cess."
- **Infrastructure for "Shadow Regions":** Priority must be given to "Last-Mile" connectivity. The BharatNet project should be accelerated to connect all government secondary schools with fiber-optic internet by 2027.
- **Bridging the Power Gap:** Solar-powered digital labs should be mandated for schools in remote areas to ensure uninterrupted learning.

ISSN (online): 2581-3048
Volume 10, Issue 4, pp 94-99, April-2026
https://doi.org/10.47001/IRJIET/2026.104012

Institutional-Level Strategies

- **Offline-First Digital Solutions:** EdTech models should be designed to function without constant internet. Pre-loaded content on tablets (e.g., Sampark Smartshala models) can ensure learning continuity in low-resource settings.
- **Community Digital Hubs:** Schools should be transformed into "Panchayat-level Digital Hubs" after hours, providing parents and community members access to digital services and literacy training.
- **Gender-Sensitive Pedagogy:** Curricular materials must be audited to ensure they dismantle patriarchal attitudes about girls' participation in STEM and digital spaces.

XII. Conclusion

The National Education Policy 2020 marks a paradigm shift in the Indian educational landscape, correctly identifying digital transformation as the key to a "Viksit Bharat" by 2047. The policy has successfully laid the groundwork through visionary frameworks like NDEAR and the National Digital University. However, the successful realization of this vision is currently hindered by asymmetric implementation and a widening digital divide.

The transition from policy formulation to meticulous execution requires more than just high-speed internet; it requires a socio-pedagogical revolution that addresses the root causes of exclusion. Only by combining "frugal innovation" with a steadfast commitment to public funding can India ensure that the digital revolution in education becomes a "practice of freedom" for every child, regardless of their background or geography.

REFERENCES

[1] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2020). Analysis of the Indian National Education Policy 2020 towards achieving its objectives. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences*, 5(2), 19–41.

[2] Desale, R. (2025). Inclusive education in NEP 2020: Policy and practice. *Journal of Educational Inclusion*, 12(2), 101–114.

[3] Government of India. (2020). National Education Policy 2020. *Ministry of Education*.

[4] Jha, P., & Parvati, P. (2025). Promises vs. realities: NEP 2020 and digital inclusion. *Contemporary Education Dialogue*, 22(1), 45–61.

[5] Jena, P. K. (2025). Building self-reliant education through NEP 2020. *Indian Journal of Educational Technology*, 27(3), 144–159.

[6] Kalaivani, P., & Devaki, N. (2025). Bridging the digital divide: How NEP 2020 aims to democratize education in India. *Quality Concerns in Teacher Education*.

[7] Khan, M. (2025). Evaluating NEP 2020: A policy perspective. *Higher Education Policy Studies*, 14(3), 87–102.

[8] Kumar, R., Sharma, L., & Bose, T. (2025). Digital governance in NEP: Towards a data-driven education system. *Journal of Educational Policy and Leadership*, 10(4), 98–112.

[9] Kurien, S., D'Souza, P., & Mathew, R. (2025). NEP and COVID-19: Disruptions and adaptations. *Indian Journal of Educational Studies*, 15(2), 72–88.

[10] Mishra, A. (2025). Online learning and digital pedagogy under NEP. *Journal of Digital Education*, 11(3), 99–113.

[11] Ministry of Education. (2023). Annual Report 2023-24. *Government of India*.

[12] Ministry of Education. (2025). UDISE+ 2024-25 Report on School Education in India. *Press Information Bureau*.

[13] NCERT. (2025). State of the Education Report for India 2025: Multilingual Education. *UNESCO*.

[14] NSSO. (2025). Comprehensive Modular Survey: Telecom 2025. *National Sample Survey Office*.

[15] Patidar, S. (2025). Sustaining digital platforms: A study of DIKSHA and SWAYAM. *Journal of Teacher Training*.

[16] Sethy, M., & Mahapatro, S. R. (2025). Transforming higher education: A critical analysis of NEP 2020. *Journal of Innovative Education Policy*.

[17] Singh, A., & Mehta, R. (2024). Challenges in implementing NEP 2020: A policy perspective. *International Journal of Educational Policy and Planning*, 15(2), 45–67.

[18] Tilak, J. B. G. (2020). National Education Policy 2020: A review. *Journal of Educational Planning and Administration*.

[19] UNESCO. (2024). *Global Education Monitoring Report 2024: Technology in Education*.

[20] UNESCO. (2025). *Global Education Monitoring Report 2024/5: Leadership and Education*.

[21] Varghese, G., & Balarama, R. (2025). Pandemic Pedagogy: The Digital Divide and Its Impact on Indian High School Students. *Advances in Consumer Research*, 2(3), 605-619.

[22] World Bank. (2022). *Digital Pathways for Education: Enabling Greater Impact for All*.

International Research Journal of Innovations in Engineering and Technology (IRJIET)

ISSN (online): 2581-3048

Volume 10, Issue 4, pp 94-99, April-2026

https://doi.org/10.47001/IRJIET/2026.104012

Citation of this Article:

Md Zamirul Islam, & Sirin Sultana. (2026). Digital Transformation in Education: The Role of NEP 2020 in Bridging the Digital Divide. *International Research Journal of Innovations in Engineering and Technology - IRJIET*, 10(4), 94-99. Article DOI https://doi.org/10.47001/IRJIET/2026.104012

****

