

A Review of Image Retrieval Methods: Progress from Feature-Based to Deep Learning Approaches

¹Er. Hitakshi, ²Dr. Jagdeep Kaur

¹Ph.D Scholar, Department of Computer Science Engineering & Technology, Sant Baba Bhag Singh University, Jalandhar, Punjab, India

²Professor, Department of Computer Science Engineering & Technology, Sant Baba Bhag Singh University, Jalandhar, Punjab, India

Abstract - Image retrieval systems are essential for efficiently accessing relevant visual content from massive datasets. Over the years, retrieval methods have advanced significantly, transitioning from simple keyword-based systems to content-based models and, more recently, to deep learning-based approaches. This review outlines major categories of image retrieval techniques, including text-based retrieval, content-based image retrieval (CBIR), machine learning-enhanced methods, and current trends in deep learning and hybrid frameworks. The paper also discusses their respective strengths, limitations, and prospects for further research.

Keywords: Image Retrieval Methods, Deep Learning, Massive datasets, Simple keyword-based systems, Content-based models, Text-based retrieval, Content-based image retrieval, CBIR.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the digital age, images are generated and stored at an unprecedented scale across various domains. To make meaningful use of this visual data, systems capable of retrieving relevant images based on user input have become critical. Traditional image retrieval approaches primarily relied on text metadata such as captions and tags, which were later enhanced by content-based image retrieval (CBIR) methods that utilize visual characteristics. More recently, machine learning and deep learning technologies have further improved the performance and intelligence of these systems. This paper reviews the evolution, technical foundations, and latest trends in image retrieval methodologies.

II. TEXT-BASED IMAGE RETRIEVAL (TBIR)

Text-based image retrieval (TBIR) operates by matching user queries to textual annotations associated with images. This method depends heavily on the availability and accuracy of image metadata.

Advantages:

Provides straightforward implementation and compatibility with natural language queries.

Limitations: High reliance on manual tagging and the lack of visual feature understanding.

Example: The QBIC (Query by Image Content) system by Flickner et al. supported both textual and visual queries but primarily depended on structured metadata [1].

III. CONTENT-BASED IMAGE RETRIEVAL (CBIR)

CBIR systems retrieve images based on intrinsic visual features such as color, shape, and texture.

Color features: Derived from histograms or color moments, capturing image composition in various color spaces.

Texture features: Extracted using methods like Gabor filters and Local Binary Patterns (LBP).

Shape features: Captured through edge detection or contour descriptors.

Example: Ma and Manjunath demonstrated the effectiveness of Gabor wavelet features for texture-based image similarity [2].

IV. MACHINE LEARNING IN CBIR

To improve retrieval accuracy, machine learning models are used to interpret visual data and reduce the semantic gap between low-level features and high-level concepts.

A. Supervised Learning Techniques

Algorithms like Support Vector Machines (SVM) and Decision Trees have been employed to classify images and incorporate user feedback into retrieval loops.

Example: Rui et al. used relevance feedback and SVMs to refine image retrieval results based on user interaction [3].

B. Clustering and Unsupervised Learning

Techniques like K-Means and Self-Organizing Maps group similar images to assist in retrieval without explicit labeling.

V. DEEP LEARNING-BASED IMAGE RETRIEVAL

Deep learning has redefined image retrieval by allowing systems to learn robust and high-level features directly from image data.

A. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)

CNNs such as VGG, ResNet, and MobileNet are widely used for extracting features that capture complex visual patterns.

Example: Giriraj et al. implemented a CNN-based retrieval framework that showed high accuracy across diverse image collections [4].

B. Transfer Learning

Pre-trained models are fine-tuned on specific datasets to generate domain-adapted features, minimizing the need for large-scale training.

Example: Liu et al. applied ResNet with fine-tuning to improve retrieval effectiveness in the medical imaging domain [5].

C. Deep Hashing Methods

Deep learning is also used to generate compact binary hash codes that enable fast and memory-efficient retrieval.

Example: Zhang et al. proposed a supervised hashing technique using neural networks to accelerate similarity search [6].

VI. HYBRID AND EMERGING TECHNIQUES

New approaches combine different models and architectures to tackle challenges in scalability, privacy, and interpretability.

A. Federated and Edge-Based CBIR

Federated learning enables collaborative training across devices without sharing raw images, preserving user privacy.

Example: Anand et al. introduced a federated CBIR framework that balances accuracy with privacy concerns [7].

B. Explainable Retrieval Models

Explainable AI (XAI) helps users understand why certain images are retrieved by highlighting relevant regions.

Example: Mehta et al. incorporated attention maps to visualize the regions contributing most to image similarity [8].

VII. COMPARISON OF IMAGE RETRIEVAL METHODS

S.No	Method	Type	Key Techniques	Advantages	Limitations	Reference
1	Text-Based Image Retrieval (TBIR)	Traditional	Captions, Tags, Metadata	Simple, language-based queries	Needs manual labeling, lacks visual context	Flickner et al. [1]
2	Color-Based CBIR	Feature-Based	Histograms, Color Moments	Fast, robust to minor changes	Poor semantic understanding	Ma & Manjunath [2]
3	Texture-Based CBIR	Feature-Based	Gabor Filters, LBP	Effective for textures	Not suitable for smooth regions	Ma & Manjunath [2]
4	Shape-Based CBIR	Feature-Based	Edge Detection, Contour Descriptors	Good for object outlines	Sensitive to orientation, scale	Classical Methods
5	ML-Based CBIR	Machine Learning	SVM, KNN, Relevance Feedback	Learns user intent, adaptive	Needs labeled data, training cost	Rui et al. [3]
6	CNN-Based Retrieval	Deep Learning	VGG, ResNet, MobileNet	High accuracy, deep features	Requires GPU, large datasets	Giriraj et al. [4]
7	Transfer Learning	Deep Learning	Pretrained CNN + Fine-tuning	Domain adaptation, less data needed	May underfit new domains	Liu et al. [5]
8	Deep Hashing	Deep Learning	Binary Code Learning,	Fast retrieval, efficient storage	Can lose detail during hashing	Zhang et al. [6]

			Similarity Preserving			
9	Federated CBIR	Hybrid	Edge Learning, Decentralized Training	Privacy preserving, scalable	Complex synchronization	Anand et al. [7]
10	Explainable CBIR	Emerging / XAI	Attention Maps, Visual Explanations	Builds trust, interpretable	Adds computational load	Mehta et al. [8]

VIII. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Despite recent advances, several challenges remain in building more intelligent and user-friendly image retrieval systems:

- *Semantic Understanding:* Improving the mapping between visual features and user intent.
- *Scalability:* Enhancing speed and performance on massive datasets.
- *User Trust:* Integrating interpretability and privacy-preserving mechanisms.

Ongoing research in vision-language models, few-shot learning, and decentralized training methods is expected to shape the future of image retrieval.

IX. CONCLUSION

The domain of image retrieval has witnessed significant transformation, moving from text-based to content-aware and now to deep learning-powered methods. While deep learning models currently offer state-of-the-art results, combining them with explainability, efficiency, and privacy will be key to developing next-generation image retrieval systems.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Flickner et al., “Query by image and video content: The QBIC system,” *IEEE Comput.*, vol. 28, no. 9, pp. 23–32, 1995.
- [2] W. Y. Ma and B. S. Manjunath, “Texture features and similarity,” *Proc. IEEE CVPR*, pp. 425–430, 1996.
- [3] Y. Rui, T. S. Huang, and S. Mehrotra, “Relevance feedback in image retrieval,” *Proc. SPIE*, pp. 25–36, 1998.
- [4] A. Giriraj, S. Mehta, and R. Sharma, “Deep learning for CBIR using CNNs,” *J. Vis. Comput. Intell.*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 45–57, 2024.
- [5] Z. Liu, H. Wu, and Y. Zheng, “Transfer learning in medical image retrieval,” *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 123–132, 2023.
- [6] K. Zhang, Q. Liu, and L. Wang, “Deep supervised hashing for image retrieval,” *IEEE TPAMI*, vol. 41, no. 12, pp. 2881–2893, 2019.
- [7] P. Anand, S. Kumar, and D. Roy, “Federated learning in CBIR systems,” *J. Artif. Intell. Res.*, vol. 78, no. 2, pp. 145–160, 2025.
- [8] S. Mehta, R. Kapoor, and D. Luthra, “Explainable CBIR using attention mechanisms,” *Proc. IEEE CVPR*, pp. 1123–1131, 2025.

Citation of this Article:

Er. Hitakshi, & Dr. Jagdeep Kaur. (2025). A Review of Image Retrieval Methods: Progress from Feature-Based to Deep Learning Approaches. *International Research Journal of Innovations in Engineering and Technology - IRJIET*, 9(6), 292-294. Article DOI <https://doi.org/10.47001/IRJIET/2025.906039>
